

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/528,37	79	11/29/2005	Jozsef Neu	23212	6926	
535	7590	10/12/2006		EXAMINER		
	IRM OF KAI		BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN			
5676 R PO BO	IVERDALE A X 900	VENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	DVIE (BDU)	IV) NV 10471.00	1624			

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Apr	Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary			528,379	NEU ET AL.						
			miner	Art Unit						
		Ver	kataraman Balasubramanian	1624						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 March	2005.							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for a	- illowance e	xcept for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□										
Applicati	on Papers									
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c				FR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment	:(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	1 8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/16/2005. 6) Other:										

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-9 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

References cited in the Information Disclosure Statement, filed on 3/16/2005, are made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

1. Claims 1-3 and 8 recite "formula (II), formula (III) and formula(IV) but there is no showing of such a formula. It is not clear what precedes should deemed as the compound or what is intended in the formula not shown therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,379

Art Unit: 1624

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graḥam* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guntoori et al., 6,586,593.

Guntoori et al., teaches use of aminoguanidine salt with acid to prepare an intermediate for making Lamotrigine. Guntoori et al., more specifically uses methanesulfonic acid as noted in example 1 (column 5). Since amniguandine can form salt with methane sulfonic acid, one trained in the art would be motivated to use the preformed, isolated salt. It would be prime facie obvious to one trained in the art to

Application/Control Number: 10/528,379

Art Unit: 1624

make the salt and expect the resultant salt to behave in the same way as in situ formed mesulate salt of aminoguanidine.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7 would be allowable, barring finding of any prior art in a subsequent search, if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Said claims would be allowable as prior art search in the related area did not teach or suggest instant one pot process using specific reagents recited therein.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571) 272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/528,379

Art Unit: 1624

Page 5

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

Venkataraman Balasubramanian

10/2/2006